

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/733,476	12/08/2000	Carlos A. Gonzalez	884.355US1	2457
7	590 05/21/2002			
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TUAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/733,476	GONZALEZ ET A	L. Ass			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	ME			
	Tuan T Dinh	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>04 March 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	allowance except for formal mat under <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to th D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languaç 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has be	een received.	11			
Attachment(s)	. ,	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/733,476

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-25) in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claims 26-31 are canceled.

Applicant did not elected the restriction species made by examiner Patel mailed on December 05, 2001. However, the species made by Mr. Patel is hereby revised. Examiner request to make another species restriction such as described below. Election between these species by applicant is required.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment I Figures 1-6 with no gasket and connector (page 5, line 4).

Embodiment II Figures 1-6 with gasket and connector.

Embodiment III Figure 7.

Embodiment IV Figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 09/733,476

Art Unit: 2827

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Ms. Ann McCrackin on May 7, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 2827

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD

May 20, 2002

KAMAND CUNEO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4